

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Declassified by ASD - 21/02/2022
Information removed for national
security and personal sensitivities

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE

MINUTE PAPER

REFERENCE: 45/5/79

(23)

SUBJECT: MARSIK - CH VDU'S

A/ADD

Reference: A. A/ADD Minute 25/2/46 of 26 October 1983

In Reference A you sought guidance on the TEMPEST implications of using RAYTHEON terminals (presumably not TEMPEST approved) in the 3rd Floor CH area for Project MARSIK.

2.

It would seem to me that there are three approaches we should consider:

- a. works (physically screening the CH work areas);
- b. use of TEMPEST approved terminals or
- c.

3. Works.

4. Use of TEMPEST Approved Terminals. Although a ^{unit} permit cost differential of four to one can be expected, this is recommended because the option:

- a. would allow all-hours operation with complete TEMPEST security;
- b. would not inhibit work-flow and/or convenience alterations to CH and directorate working areas (now and in the future); and
- c. would meet ACSI 31(A) security objectives (we would be doing what we tell others to do).

.../2

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

23(a)

Declassified by ASD - 21/02/2022
Information removed for national
security and personal sensitivities

- 2 -

6. Given our physical security situation I do not think it necessary for the works option to be further developed. MARSIK planning should proceed on the basis of using TEMPEST approved terminals (preferred), or

It would be prudent to make financial provision for approved terminals



(P.R. SILCOCK)
A/ADQ

27 October 1983

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~