CCEVS APPROVED ASSURANCE CONTINUITY MAINTENANCE REPORT

ASSURANCE CONTINUITY MAINTENANCE REPORT FOR

Cybex SwitchView SC Series Switches Model 520-147-505/Model 520-319-503

Maintenance Report Number: CCEVS-VR-03-0041e

Date of Activity: 03 July 2006

References: Common Criteria document CCIMB-2004-02-009 "Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements", version 1.0, February 2004;

"Avocent Impact Analysis Review", 28 February 2006

Documentation Updated: Cybex SwitchView SC Series Switches Security Target, version 1.2, 23 November 2005;

Cybex SwitchView SC, Model 520-147-004/Model 520-319-003 developer evidence documents.

Assurance Continuity Maintenance Report:

The vendor for the Cybex SwitchView SC Series Switches, Avocent Corporation, submitted an Impact Analysis Report (IAR) to CCEVS for approval on 27 July 2006. The IAR is intended to satisfy requirements outlined in Common Criteria document CCIMB-2004-02-009, "Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements", version 1.0, February 2004. In accordance with those requirements, the IAR describes the changes made to the certified TOE, the evidence updated as a result of the changes and the security impact of the changes.

Changes to TOE:

This maintenance activity consists of changes necessary to comply with the with the European Union directive for Restriction of Hazardous Substances (RoHS). Contents of the materials used in manufacture of the product, such as the lead plating used on the printed circuit boards and the flame retardant chemicals used in plastic parts, were changed to meet European standards.

No changes were made to the design, architecture, construction, or functionality of the TOE, or to its development environment or delivery methods.

The only changes necessary to the evaluation evidence were the identification of the part numbers (in the requirements for configuration management and testing).

Conclusion:

The changes to fabrication materials were analyzed and found to have no effect upon assurance; these changes are beyond the concern of the original EAL4 evaluation. The non-security relevance of the set of changes leads to the conclusion that it is classified as a minor change and that certificate maintenance is the correct path to continuity of assurance.

Therefore, CCEVS agrees that the original assurance is maintained for the above-cited versions of the product.